

Jack, Michael (JUS)

From: Eberhard, Kelly (JUS)
Sent: October 8, 2009 2:01 PM
To: Jack, Michael (JUS)
Subject: RE: r v. Vollick sp09178964

Hi Michael, thank you very much for your information. It is very helpful. I found the restitution documents, thanks. It becomes more confusing when we have an adult in the picture...I wonder if I might have the school board rep's name and number and if I could contact them and see exactly what they have in mind, then we can have a pow wow about it.
 thanks,
 Kelly

-----Original Message-----

From: Jack, Michael (JUS)
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2009 4:52 PM
To: Eberhard, Kelly (JUS)
Cc: Nie, Richard (JUS)
Subject: RE: r v. Vollick sp09178964

Hi Kelly,

Here my insights into the matter:

We do have the monetary figure for the total value of the damage to the school property. I disclosed the repair bills provided to me by the Kawartha District Pine Ridge School Board to the court some 4 weeks ago. You should have them on file. If they grew legs, I saved a copy.

The Kawartha District Pine Ridge School Board is seeking monetary restitution for the damages caused. The total worth of the damage done is \$3,688.00 and that is all the school board wants back.

I spoke with the School Board representative and was advised that they wish to handle the case delicately and would prefer to resort to restorative justice if it is ok with the police.

As an investigating officer, I believe that since the offence is a first-time, non-violent offence (property damage only) the extrajudicial measures would be adequate to hold them accountable.

Three accused were 17 years old and one turned 18 just 4 months prior to the event. Not sure how you will prefer to hold the adult accountable.

Please let me know what else you would like to know.

Glad to be of assistance.

Michael

From: Eberhard, Kelly (JUS)
Sent: October 6, 2009 8:11 AM
To: Jack, Michael (JUS)
Cc: Hobbins, John (JUS); Lafreniere, Bob (JUS); Schroter, Sarah (JUS)
Subject: r v. Vollick sp09178964

hi, this accused's mum has called a couple of times wanting to know if her son is eligible for the EJS program. My gut reaction is no as it is a serious offence there were three co-accused and all ran from police plus we don't know amount of damages. I understand that all youth have no records...

Can you give me some insight into this? What do you think? Do you now have the exact damages? What is the school board's feeling...

(don't say we are going to agree to ejs becauz I am far from that but you might canvas their ideas for dealing with...

thanks,
 kelly